





Building a Better Battle

The Halo 3 AI Objectives



Damián Isla
Bungie Studios

Building A Better Battle

Designer tools

AI is an integral part of it

An interesting *Next-Gen* problem



BUNGIE

NON FACETE NOBIS CALCITRARE VESTRUM

“Big Battle” Technology

Precombat

Combat dialogue

Ambient sound

Scalable perception

Flocking

Encounter logic

Effects

Targeting groups

In-game cinematics

Scalable AI

Mission dialogue

“Big Battle” Technology

Activities

Combat dialogue

Ambient sound

Scalable perception

Flocking

Encounter logic

Effects

Targeting groups

In-game cinematics

Scalable AI

Mission dialogue




Encounter Design

- Encounters are *systems*
- Lots of guys
- Lots of things to do
- The system reacts in interesting ways
- The system collapses in interesting ways

An encounter is a complicated dance with lots of dancers


How is this dance choreographed?



Choreography 101

- The dance is about the illusion of strategic intelligence
- Strategy is environment- story- and pacing-dependent

Designer provides
the strategic
intelligence



AI acts smart within
the confines of the
plan provided by
the designer

The Canonical Encounter

Two-stage fallback

- Enemies occupy a territory
- Pushed to “*fallback*” point
- Pushed to “*last-stand*” point
- Player “breaks” them
- Player finishes them off

... plus a little “spice”

- snipers
- turrets
- dropships



Task

The *mission designers'* language for telling the AI what it should be doing


Halo:

- Territory
- Behavior
 - aggressiveness
 - rules of engagement
 - player following




Changing task moves AI around the encounter space

The Control Stack



The Control Stack




Halo 2: The Imperative Method

BUNGIE


NON FACETE NOBIS CALCITRARE VESTRUM

The Imperative Method


Give the designers an FSM construction tool



Problems with the Imperative Method



Problems with the Imperative Method



Explicit transitions $\rightarrow n^2$ complexity

Problems with the Imperative Method

For Halo 3:

- Larger encounters
- More characters
- More open spaces
- More avenues of attack

Halo 3: The Declarative Method



NON FACETE NOBIS CALCITRARE VESTRUM

The Declarative Method

The new approach:

Enumerate “tasks that need doing” in the environment

Let the system figure out who should perform them

The Declarative Method

Not without precedent




Similar to “affordances”

The Declarative Method

Tasks have *structure*

- Relative priorities
 - “The *most important* thing is to guard the door, but if you can, also guard the hallway”
- Are made up of sub-tasks
 - “Guarding the hallway means guarding the front, the middle and the rear of the hallway.”




Behavior Trees

(*Handling Complexity in the Halo 2 AI, GDC 2005*)

Takeaways:


1. Prioritized-list decision scheme
2. Behaviors are self-describing








We are not making a *single* choice.

We are finding a *distribution* across *all* choices.

Task Trees?



Task Trees?




Halo 3 AI Objectives System

The structure:

- A Tree of Prioritized *Tasks*
- Tasks are self-describing
 - priority
 - activation script-fragments
 - capacities

The Algorithm:


- Pour squads in at the top
- Allow them to filter down to the most important tasks to be filling
RIGHT NOW





Basically, it's a plinko machine.

The *Dynamic* Plinko Machine

- Tasks turn themselves on and off
- Squads pulled UP, on activation of a higher-priority task
- Squads pushed DOWN, on deactivation of the task they're in



3 Generators Revisited



Designer UI

AI Objectives

Nameobj_ss_covenantAdd

Zonezn_substationDelete

Task

Conditions

Filter

Style

Min

Max

Bodies

Life

Min Str

#fps

[0]phantom

☒phantom

Normal

0

0

0/0

0/0

0.00

3

[0]infantry_gate

☐none

Normal

0

0

0/0

0/0

0.00

0

[0]back_jackal_gate

☒jackal

Normal

0

0

0/0

0/0

0.00

0

[0]dock_gate

(<= g_ss_obj_control 4)

☐none

Normal

0

0

0/7

0/0

0.00

0

[0]back_gate

☐none

Normal

0

0

0/0

0/0

0.00

0

[0]b_cov_back

(>= g_ss_obj_control 9)

☒leader

Normal

3

5

0/0

0/0

0.00

34

[0]b_front_01b

(and (not (volume_test_players tv_ss_07)) (<= g_ss_obj_control 7))

☒leader

Normal

0

5

0/4

0/0

0.00

70

[0]b_front_01a

☐none

Normal

0

0

0/2

0/0

0.00

61

[0]b_cov_03

☒leader

Normal

0

4

0/5

0/0

0.00

44

[0]b_cov_01

(<= g_ss_obj_control 7)

☒leader

Normal

0

4

0/4

0/0

0.00

71

[0]b_cov_02

(<= g_ss_obj_control 8)

☒leader

Normal

0

4

0/4

0/0

0.00

64

[0]brute

☒brute

Normal

0

2

0/3

0/0

0.00

64

[0]b_grunt_01

(<= g_ss_obj_control 7)

☒grunt

Normal

0

3

0/0

0/0

0.00

47

[0]b_grunt_02

(<= g_ss_obj_control 8)

☒grunt

Normal

0

3

0/0

0/0

0.00

46

[0]wayback

☐none

Normal

0

0

0/0

0/0

0.00

15

- Integration with HaloScript
- Run-time feedback

The Algorithm



NON FACETE NOBIS CALCITRARE VESTRUM

NON FACETE NOBIS CALCITRARE VESTRUM

NON FACETE NOBIS CALCITRARE VESTRUM

The Algorithm

- Consider a subtree fragment
- Determine which children are active
 - Squads in inactive tasks assigned back up to parent
- Consider top priority group
- Collect squads to attempt to distribute
 - Squads currently in parent
 - Squads in lower-priority tasks
- Distribute Squads
- Recurse for children in top priority-group
- Iterate to next “priority group”



Squad Distribution

Formally, we have

- set S of n squads
- set T of m tasks

Now, find a mapping $F(S) \rightarrow T$

Two parts:

1. Respect Task-Capacity Constraints
2. Minimize cost function $H(F)$

Squad Distribution

1. Respect Task-Capacity Constraints

guys assigned to task $t \leq \text{capacity}(t)$

... but remember, we're bucketing by squads.



This is called **bin-packing**. And it's NP-Hard.

Squad Distribution

1. Respect Task-Capacity Constraints

Fortunately


- a) there's always Wikipedia
- b) we can live with sub-optimal
- c) we're optimizing not for m , but for $H(F)$

Squad Distribution

2. Minimize cost function $H(F)$

Why a cost function?

- Gives us a basis for choosing one distribution over another
- Weigh different concerns
 - *don't want* to travel far
 - *want* to act coordinated
 - *want* to balance the tree
 - *want* to get near to the player




Squad Distribution

2. Minimize cost function
 $H(F)$

DANGER: AI can look really
stupid with wrong $H(f)$

OPPORTUNITY: Designer
has abdicated his
decision-making authority



Squad Distribution

2. Minimize cost function $H(F)$

A class of cost functions:

$$H(F) = \sum_s H(s, F(s))$$

We use

$$H(F) = \sum_s \text{distance}(s, F(s))$$

A Greedy Approach

```
while (S is not empty)

    find pair (s,t) that give the minimum
    H(s,t) for all S x T (where adding s to t
    would not exceed t's capacity)

    if (s,t)
        assign(s, t)
        capacity(t) = capacity(t) - size(s)
        S = S - s
    else
        end
```

A note on Perf

Our algorithm may be $O(n^2m)$, but we are redeemed by the fact that n and m are small

Other perf measures

- Cache $H(s,t)$ results
- Timeslice entire trees \leftarrow Halo3
- Timeslice nodes within trees

Refinements



NON FACETE NOBIS CALCITRARE VESTRUM

NON FACETE NOBIS CALCITRARE VESTRUM

NON FACETE NOBIS CALCITRARE VESTRUM

Filters

Particular tasks only available to particular *kinds* of guys

E.g.

- Must be of character type X
- Must be in vehicles
- Must NOT be in vehicles
- Snipers

“Filters”

- Specify *occupation* conditions (as opposed to *activation* conditions)
- “Trivially” implemented as an inf return value from $H(s, t)$
- Helpful for the “spice”

Further Task Refinements

Activation behavior

- Latch on
- Latch off / exhaustion

Exhaustion behavior

- Death count
- Living count

Assignment behavior

- One-time assignment

All of these were designer requests

Case Studies

Case Study #1: Leadership

Want to have leaders and followers

- Brute and three grunts
- Brute Chieftan and brute pack

Gameplay

- Leaders provide structure to encounter
- Leader death “breaks” followers

BUNGIE



Case Study #1: Leadership

Two Parts:

1. Leadership-based filters
 - Core task: “leader” filter
 - Peripheral tasks: “NO leader” filter
2. Task “broken” state
 - Task does not allow redistribution in or out while broken
 - NPCs have “broken” behaviors

Case Study #2: Player pickup

Vehicle encounters are not fun without a vehicle

Gameplay

- When the player needs a vehicle, allies go pick him up



Case Study #2: Player pickup

Implementation: one dedicated player-pickup task per encounter

Four parts:

1. vehicle filter
2. `player_needs_vehicle()` script function
3. “follow player” task option
4. driver `player_pickup` behavior

And that's it!

Demo

(Max Dyckhoff, everybody)

Summaries

Badness Summary

- Requires designer training
- Sometimes awkward relationship between scripting system and Objectives
- Tying together allied and enemy “fronts” was complicated.
- The squad wasn’t always the best level at which to do the bucketing
 - e.g. give a guy a sniper rifle ... shouldn’t he then be allowed to occupy a “sniper” task?

Technique Summary

- Declarative approaches are great
 - less direct control, more manageability
- Hierarchies are great
 - more modular
 - better scalability
- Self-describing tasks makes this whole thing $O(n)$ complexity rather than $O(n^2)$ (conceptually)

Production Summary

- The Goal: provide a powerful tool for designers to control strategy-level decision-making for a large group of characters
- Flexible enough to incorporate plenty of designer-requested features / modifications
- Great for Prototyping
 - became much more complicated as we neared shippable encounter state
- One-stop-shop for encounter construction
- Design of the system driven from the UI outwards

Summary Summary

Not a problem isolated to Halo

As number of NPCs grows, these kinds of techniques will become more and more important

All you need ...

... is $H(s,t)$



These slides will be available online
Feb. 25th, 2008

<http://www.bungie.net/publications/>

We're Hiring!

- Come see us at the Career Pavillion in
Booth 913 (West Hall)

<http://www.bungie.net/jobs/>